IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION No. 22701 of 1998

Between:

Osman Bin Sayeed, S/o. Sayeed Bin Abdul, Gaffor,
R/o. Atmakur, Mahboobnagar District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Labour Court-III
Hyderabad.
2 Superintending Engineer Panchayat Raj Circle
Mahaboobnagar District.
3 The Executive Engineer Panchayat Raj Division
Narayanpet, Mahboobnagar District.
4 Dy. Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Sub- Division
Makthal, Mahboobnagar District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or Writ particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ declaring the award of the Labour Court passed in I.D.No. 358 of 1993 in so far as denying the reinstatement in to service with back wages as illegal and arbitrary; and consequently direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner into service with full wages from the date of illegal termination and grant such other relief or reliefs as the Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.VIDYASAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR LABOUR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the workman, being aggrieved by the award dated 01.03.1995, passed by the Labour Court-III, Hyderabad (for short 'the Labour Court') in I.D.No.358 of 1993 (old I.D.No.18/1992), wherein the workman was directed to be reinstated into service as N.M.R by adopting procedure as contemplated under Section 25-H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (for short 'the Act') and the services be continued in future.

In fact, complaining against the very same award, the respondent-Management had filed W.P.No.17217 of 1995 and the said writ petition was dismissed by this Court on 16.12.2004.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that the petitioner/workman is not entitled for any further relief as sought for in this writ petition. Therefore, the writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

C.V.RAMULU,J

Dated: 21.07.2005

Dsr

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To:

1. The Presiding Officer,

Labour Court-III, Hyderabad

2. The Superintending Engineer,

Panchayat Raj Circle,

Mahboobnagar District

3. The Executive Engineer,

Panchayat Raj Division,

Narayanpet, Mahboobnagar District

4. The Deputy Executive Engineer,

Panchayat Raj Sub-Division,

Makthal, Mahboobnagar District

5. Two CCs to the Government Pleaders for Labour, and

Panchayat Raj & Rural Development, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT)

6. Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**JPK**}*